Isolation, Structure Elucidation, and Absolute Configuration of 26-Deoxyactein from Cimicifuga racemosa and Clarification of Nomenclature Associated with **27-Deoxyactein**

Shao-Nong Chen,^{†,§} Wenkui Li,^{†,⊥} Daniel S. Fabricant,^{†,§} Bernard D. Santarsiero,^{‡,§} Andrew Mesecar,^{‡,§} John F. Fitzloff,^{†,⊥} Harry H. S. Fong,^{†,§} and Norman R. Farnsworth^{*,†,§}

Program for Collaborative Research in the Pharmaceutical Sciences (PCRPS, M/C 877), Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago, 833 S. Wood Street, Chicago, Illinois 60612, Center for Pharmaceutical Biotechnology (M/C 870), University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60612, and UIC/NIH Center for Botanical Dietary Supplements Research, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60612

Received October 8, 2001

A new triterpene glycoside, 26-deoxyactein (1), along with two known compounds, 23-epi-26-deoxyactein (2), previously designated as "27-deoxyactein", and actein (3), were isolated from the roots/rhizomes of *Cimicifuga racemosa.* The structures and absolute stereochemistry of **1** and **2** were established by spectroscopic methods (FABMS, ¹H and ¹³C NMR) and single-crystal X-ray data analysis.

The roots/rhizomes of Cimicifuga racemosa (L.) Nutt., or according to more recent taxonomic nomenclature^{1,2} Actaea racemosa L. (commonly known as black cohosh), have a long and diverse history of medicinal use dating back to Native North American indigenous groups.^{3,4} This plant is presently used primarily as a "hormone-free" phytomedicine in the treatment of climacteric symptoms related to menopause,⁵ with a clinical history spanning over the last 40 years.⁶⁻¹¹ Therapeutically, it is used to reduce the frequency and intensity of hot flashes.^{3-5,12-14} It has been reported that there is an improvement in psychic complaints among users.³⁻⁵ In the United States, extracts of C. racemosa are available for sale as a dietary supplement used for the treatment of menopausal and postmenopausal symptoms.

According to published reports, two main classes of compounds have been isolated from the roots/rhizomes of *C. racemosa*: 9,19-cycloartane highly oxygenated triterpene glycosides $^{17-21}$ and aromatic acids 22,23 and their derivatives. To date, more than 20 of these triterpene glycosides have been isolated from this species, with 23-epi-26-deoxyactein (2) being one of the major constituents. This compound, formerly known as "27-deoxyactein", is commonly used as a marker or standard compound for calculating the total triterpene glycoside content in commercially available preparations of black cohosh.²⁴ The structure of this compound was reported as 27-deoxyactein in 1988, without the benefit of NMR data, 21 and the stereochemistry of C-23 $\,$ was assigned as *R* instead of the *S* configuration exhibited by the compound actein. Its ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were published in 1995, but the authors did not elaborate on the stereochemistry nor the nomenclature of this compound.²⁵ Thus, it has not been clear whether the stereochemistry of C-23 in 23-epi-26-deoxyactein is the same as found in actein.25

In the present investigation on the roots/rhizomes of *C*. racemosa, two isomeric 26-deoxyacteins (1, 2) were isolated

along with the known triterpene, actein (3). Of the two 26deoxyacteins, 1 is a minor component which was isolated at 1/30 the quantity of **2**, the major triterpene constituent. Although their NMR data were virtually identical, their retention times (t_R) on analytical RP-HPLC were significantly different. The $t_{\rm R}$ of the 26-deoxyactein isomer (1) was 39.3 min, whereas the $t_{\rm R}$ of the major isomer (2) was 32.0 min, thus confirming the presence of two distinct compounds. On the basis of a comparison of its NMR data to those reported in the literature, compound 2 was identified as the triterpene glycoside previously known as 27-deoxyactein;²⁵ thus, **1** and **2** are isomers differing only in the stereochemistry at C-23. In this paper, we report on the isolation, structural determination, and absolute configurational assignment of these isomers on the basis of spectroscopic data (FABMS, ¹H and ¹³C NMR) and singlecrystal X-ray data analysis and clarification of the nomenclature for compound 2.

26-Deoxyactein (1) and 23-epi-26-deoxyactein (2) were isolated by repeated chromatography on silica gel (SiO₂) and ODS (RP-18) of an EtOAc-soluble fraction prepared from the roots/rhizomes of *C. racemosa*. 26-Deoxyactein (1) was obtained as colorless needles; the molecular formula was determined to be $C_{37}H_{56}O_{10}$ on the basis of a positive HRFABMS ion *m*/*z* 683.3775 (calcd 683.3771 for C₃₇ H₅₆O₁₀ Na). 23-Epi-26-deoxyactein (2) was obtained as colorless needles; the molecular formula of C37H56O10 was based on a positive HRFABMS ion m/z 683.3779 (calcd 683.3771 for C37 H56O10Na).

In the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) the cyclopropane methylene signals of **1** were observed at δ 0.25 and 0.60 (each 1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz). The spectrum also showed seven methyl groups at δ 0.86 (s), 0.96 (d, J = 5.7 Hz), 1.02 (s), 1.35 (s), 1.37 (s), 1.47 (s), and 2.15 (s) and an anomeric proton at δ 4.86 (d, J= 7.6 Hz). The $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ and DEPT NMR spectra of 1 showed signals (Table 2) ascribable to four oxygen-bearing methine carbons at δ 88.1 (C-3), 77.1 (C-12), 73.0 (C-16), and 63.6 (C-24), one oxygen-bearing methylene carbon at δ 68.8 (C-26), and two oxygen-bearing quaternary carbons at δ 105.9 (C-23) and 63.3 (C-25). The spectra also showed five oxygenated carbons assignable to the β -D-xylopyranose moiety [δ 107.5 (C-1'), 75.6 (C-2'), 78.7 (C-3'), 71.3 (C-4'), 67.1 (C-5') and an acetyl group [δ 170.6,

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: +01-312-413-5894. Tel: 01-312-996-7253. E-mail: norman@uic.edu.

 $^{^\}dagger$ Program for Collaborative Research in the Pharmaceutical Sciences (M/ C-877)

⁴ Center for Pharmaceutical Biotechnology (M/C-870). [§] UIC/NIH Center for Botanical Dietary Supplements Research (M/C-87).

¹ Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy.

Table 1. ¹H NMR Data (δ) of 26-deoxyactein (1) and 23-Epi-26-deoxyactein (2)

proton	1 ^a	2
1	1.15	1.11
	1.52	1.52
2	1.88	1.84
	2.28	2.28
3	3.48 dd (4.3, 11.7)	3.44, dd (3.4, 10.8)
5	1.26 brd (12.4)	1.22 brd (10.1)
6	0.69 brd (12.7)	0.60 brdd (13.6, 11.1)
	1.44	1.40
7	0.95	0.91
	1.30	1.21
8	1.64 dd (4.7, 14.4)	1.59 brd (12.4)
11	1.20 dd (3.7, 15.9)	1.17 brd (15.5)
	2.74 dd (7.1, 15.9)	2.70 dd (8.6, 15.5)
12	5.11 dd (3.6, 8.8)	5.10 brd. (5.3 Hz)
15	1.68 dd (6.6, 12.6)	1.78
	1.91 dd (8.0, 12.6)	1.89 dd (6.7, 11.8)
16	4.53 brdd, (7.2, 14.2)	4.23 brd, (6.7 Hz)
17	1.80 (overlapped)	1.76 (overlapped)
18	1.35 s	1.40 s
19	0.25 d (4.0)	0.19 d (3.8)
	0.60 d (4.0)	0.52 d (3.8)
20	1.79	2.23
21	0.96 d (5.7)	1.00 d (6.7)
22	1.60	1.44 brd (12.4)
	<i>2.19</i> brd (12.4)	1.59 brd (12.4)
24	<i>3.71</i> s	4.04 s
26	<i>3.95</i> d (10.0)	3.62 d (10.4)
	<i>3.88</i> , d (10.0)	4.05 d (10.4)
27	1.47 s	1.45 s
28	0.86 s	0.83 s
29	1.37 s	1.29 s
30	1.02 s	0.99 s
1′	4.86, d (7.6)	4.83 d (7.2)
2′	4.05 t (7.8)	4.01 t (7.8)
3′	4.18 t (8.7)	4.14 t (8.6)
4'	4.24 m	4.21 m
5'	3.77 t (10.0)	3.73 t (10.2)
	4.38 dd (11.2, 5.1)	4.35 dd (10.5, 4.2)
OAc	2.15 s	2.12 s

 $^a\operatorname{Recorded}$ at 500 MHz. Coupling constants (J in Hz) are in parentheses.

Table 2. ¹³C NMR Data (δ) of 26-Deoxyactein (1) and 23-Epi-26-deoxyactein (2)

С	1	2	С	1	2
1	32.0 t	32.0 t	19	29.6 t	29.5 t
2	29.9 t	30.0 t	20	<i>26.0</i> d	23.3 d
3	88.1 d	88.1 d	21	21.0 q	21.7 q
4	41.2 s	41.2 s	22	<i>36.7</i> t	37.6 t
5	47.0 d	47.0 d	23	105.9 s	105.9 s
6	20.5 t	20.4 t	24	<i>63.3</i> d	62.5 d
7	25.7 t	25.7 t	25	<i>63.3</i> s	62.3 s
8	45.8 d	45.6 d	26	<i>68.8</i> t	67.1 t
9	20. 2 s	20. 2 s	27	<i>13.8</i> q	14.3 q
10	26.8 s	26.8 s	28	19.6 q	19.7 q
11	36.7 t	36.7 t	29	25.7 q	25.7 q
12	77.1 d	77.1 d	30	15.4 q	15.3 q
13	48.8 s	48.8 s	1′	107.5 đ	107.5 đ
14	48.0 s	47.9 s	2'	75.6 d	75.6 d
15	<i>43.7</i> t	44.2 t	3′	78.7 d	78.7 d
16	<i>73.0</i> d	74.5 d	4'	71.3 d	71.3 d
17	56.5 d	56.2 d	5'	67.1 t	67.2 t
18	13.5 q	13.5 q	OAc	170.6, 20.7	170.7, 21.4

20.7 for OAc]. The ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{1}\text{H}$ COSY and HMQC spectra disclosed that the xyloside **1** has partial structures $-\text{CH}_2$ -CH₂CH- (due to C₁ to C₃), $-\text{CHCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}{-}$ (for C₅ to C₈), $-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}(\text{OAc}){-}$ (for C₁₁ to C₁₂), and $-\text{CH}_2\text{CHCHCH}{-}$ (CH₃)CH₂- (for C₁₅ to C₁₇, C₂₀ to C₂₂) and two pairs of geminal signals for CH₂-19 and CH₂-26 that were compatible for rings A, B, C, D, and part of E of a 9,19-cycloartane triterpene skeleton. On the basis of these data, **1** was

concluded to be a highly oxygenated 9,19-cycloartane triterpene monoglycoside with an acetyl substituent.

The HMBC spectrum showed correlations between H-12 $(\delta_{\rm H} 5.11)$ and the acyl signal at $\delta_{\rm C} 170.6$ (OAc), the methine signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 56.5 (C-17) and the methyl signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.5 (C-18), and the quaternary carbon signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 48.8 (C-13). There are correlations between H-22 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.60 and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.19) and the quaternary carbon C-23 at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 105.9, the C-24 methine carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.3, and the C-17 methine carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 56.5. There are also correlations between H-26 at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.95 and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.88 and C-23 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 105.9) and a quaternary carbon at C-25 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.3). Thus, ring F is determined as shown in **1**, and the acetyl group should be assigned to C-12 of ring C. Although no correlations between H-16 and C-23 were observed in the HMBC spectrum of 1, the HRFABMS showed that there are 10 double bond equivalents in **1**. To accommodate the correlations and double bond equivalents, an epoxy ring between C-16 and C-23 must exist, which would lead compound 1 to be structurally related, if not identical, to 23-epi-26-deoxyactein (2).

Comparative analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 1 and those of 2 showed them to be identical except for the signals at C/H-16 and C/H-20. The ¹³C NMR signal at C-16 [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 74.5 (d)] in **2** is shifted upfield to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 73.0 (d) in **1**. Meanwhile, the C-20 in ${\bm 2}$ is shifted downfield from δ_C 23.3 (d) to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 26.0 (d) in **1**. Moreover, the ¹H NMR of H-16 in 26-deoxyactein is shifted downfield from 4.23 to 4.53 in 1, while H-20 in **2** is shifted upfield from $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.23 to $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.79 in 1, respectively. Because the radius and negative substituent effects of oxygen are larger than those of carbon, these chemical shifts can be explained as being due to the γ -effect of axial oxygen between C-23 and C-26 in **2** instead of an equatorial direction in 1.26 Therefore, the configuration of epoxy between C-23 and C-26 is α -oriented. The configurations of C-24 and C-25 were not assigned because there were not any correlation signals observed in a standard NOESY experiment. According to the chemical shift changes of the ¹³C and ¹H spectra at C/H-16 and C/H-20 in **1**, we determined the structure of **1** should be 26deoxyactein, which has the same stereochemistry at C-23 as actein (3).

To confirm the structures proposed for 26-deoxyactein (1) and 23-epi-26-deoxyactein (2), X-ray analyses were carried out, and the structural information is summarized in Table 3. The intramolecular bond lengths and angles are all normal and unremarkable (Supporting Information, Table S7). Most notably, the torsion angles around atom C-33 (Table 4) are different, revealing the difference in stereochemistry at that chiral center (see Pluton Figures 2 and 3), which confirmed the structures and absolute configurations proposed for 26-deoxyactein (1) and 23-epi-26-deoxyactein (2).

With the structure of **2** firmly established as being a 26deoxyactein congener of actein (**3**) and the NMR data obtained for this isolate being identical to those reported for 27-deoxyactein, the nomenclatural difference was examined.

The registered IUPAC and ACS name for the compound known as 27-deoxyactein is designated as β -D-xylopyranoside, (3 β ,12 β ,16 β ,23S,24R,25R)-12-(acetyloxy)-16,23:23,26: 24,25-triepoxy-9,19-cyclolanostan-3-yl, whereas the designation for actein (**3**) is β -D-xylopyranoside, (3 β ,12 β ,16 β , 23R,24R,25S,26S)-12-(acetyloxy)-16,23:23,26:24,25-triepoxy-26-hydroxy-9,19-cyclolanostan-3-yl.²⁷ The hydroxyl group in actein (**3**) is located at C-26; thus, the corresponding reduced derivative must also be at the same position. Hence, 27-deoxyactein is an incorrect name. Additionally,

26-Deoxyactein (1) and 23-Epi-26-deoxyactein (2)					
	1	2			
chemical formula	$C_{37}H_{56}O_{10}$ ·H ₂ O	C ₃₇ H ₅₆ O ₁₀			
fw	678.83	660.82			
unit cell dimens	a = 9.3413(19) Å	<i>a</i> = 27.463(6) Å			
	b = 11.859(2) Å	b = 6.1806(13) Å			
	c = 16.155(3) Å	c = 22.404(5) Å			
	$\beta = 103.60(3)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 116.140(4)^{\circ}$			
unit cell volume	1739.4(6) Å ³	3413.9(13) Å ³			
Ζ	2	4			
space group	P2 ₁ (No. 4, P 1 21 1)	C2 (No. 5, C121)			
temperature	100(1) K	100(1) K			
density (calcd)	1.296 Mg m^{-3}	1.286 Mg m^{-3}			
F ₀₀₀	736	1432			
abs coeff (calcd)	0.094 mm^{-1}	0.092 mm^{-1}			
wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å			
data limits <i>h</i> , <i>k</i> , <i>l</i>	$-8 \rightarrow 11, -14 \rightarrow 14,$	-32→32,−7→7,			
	-19→17	-26→26			
$\theta(\max)$	25.0°	25.0°			
total no. of obsns	8866	21 268			
R(int)	0.0335	0.0508			
$R(\sigma)$	0.0782	0.0464			
no. of averaged obsns	5786	6044			
total, greater than threshold $2\sigma(I)$	5335	5382			
R(F), all data	0.0885	0.0795			
R(F), with threshold	0.0814	0.0670			
$WR(F^2)$, all data	0.1703	0.1517			
goodness-of-fit S	1.192	1.112			
all data					

Table 3. Summary of Structural Information for

Table 4. Selected Torsion Angles for 26-Deoxyactein (1) and 23-Epi-26-deoxyactein $(2)^a$

	1	2
C29 C28 O28 C33	176.3(4)	-176.6(2)
C27 C28 O28 C33	-65.6(5)	-59.2(3)
C31 C30 C32 C33	169.4(4)	170.3(2)
C27 C30 C32 C33	-66.3(5)	-65.6(2)
C28 O28 C33 O33	-81.3(5)	141.8(2)*
C28 O28 C33 C34	166.5(4)	-105.8(3)*
C28 O28 C33 C32	39.4(5)	22.8(3)
C30 C32 C33 O33	148.7(4)	-75.9(2)*
C30 C32 C33 O28	25.7(6)	39.9(3)
C30 C32 C33 C34	-94.6(5)	170.6(2)*
O33 C33 C34 O34	52.5(5)	46.1(3)
O28 C33 C34 O34	170.2(4)	$-67.0(3)^{*}$
C32 C33 C34 O34	-66.3(5)	163.8(2)*
O33 C33 C34 C35	-13.3(5)	-18.7(4)
O28 C33 C34 C35	104.3(4)	-131.8(3)*
C32 C33 C34 C35	-132.2(5)	98.9(3)*
C33 C34 O34 C35	-101.1(5)	-97.4(3)
C33 C34 C35 O34	103.5(4)	109.6(4)
O34 C34 C35 C36	100.1(6)	104.5(4)
C33 C34 C35 C36	-156.4(5)	-145.9(3)
O34 C34 C35 C37	-105.9(4)	-105.4(3)
C33 C34 C35 C37	-2.4(5)	4.2(4)
C34 O34 C35 C36	-121.4(5)	-118.1(4)
C34 O34 C35 C37	95.7(4)	-105.4(3)*
C34 C35 C37 O33	17.2(4)	12.0(4)
O34 C35 C37 O33	-45.1(4)	-49.9(3)
C36 C35 C37 O33	173.9(4)	164.7(3)
O28 C33 O33 C37	-87.6(4)	147.3(2)*
C34 C33 O33 C37	25.1(4)	26.6(3)
C32 C33 O33 C37	149.9(3)	-92.0(2)*
C35 C37 O33 C33	-26.9(3)	-25.0(3)

 a Substantial differences in the torsion angles are noted by an asterisk.

designation of the name 26-deoxyactein to compound **2** would imply the same stereochemistry as actein, which would be incorrect. Compound **1**, a new structure, in fact, has the same stereochemistry as actein. The names of these compounds (**1** and **2**) should henceforth be known as 26-deoxyactein and 23-epi-26-deoxyactein, respectively.



2. 23-epi-26-deoxyactein

Figure 1. Structures and correct IUPAC numbering of 26-deoxyactein (1), 23-epi-26-deoxyactein (2), and actein (3).



Figure 2. PLUTON drawing of 1. (Note: crystal structure numbering does not follow IUPAC numbering.)



Figure 3. PLUTON drawing of 2.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were determined on a Fisher-Johns melting point apparatus. Optical rotations were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. ¹H and ¹³C NMR were measured on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz or a 300 MHz instrument using TMS as internal standard for chemical shifts. Chemical shifts (δ) were expressed in ppm with reference to TMS signals. HRFABMS data were recorded on a VG70E-HF system. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on E. Merck TLC plates (250 μ m thickness, K6F Si gel 60 and K6F RP-18 Si gel 60) with compounds visualized by spraying the dried plates with 5% H₂SO₄ in *n*BuOH followed by heating. Semipreparative HPLC was carried out on a Waters 996 system equipped with a photodiode array detector on a Watrex GROM-Sil 120 ODS-4 HE semiprepara

tive column (5 μ m, 20 imes 300 mm) with a flow rate of 6 mL/ min. Reversed-phase low-pressure column chromatography was carried out on a Merck Lobar Lichroprep RP₁₈ column, aided by a fluid pump and an autocollector. Silica gel (230-400 mesh) was used for column chromatography.

Analytical HPLC. Analysis was performed on a Waters 2690 Alliance HPLC system equipped with a Sedex 75 evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD) employing a Waters YMC ODS-AQ RP-18 column (5 μ m, 120 Å 4.6×250 mm) with a Waters Delta-Pak RP-18 guard column. Samples of 1, 2, and 3 were dissolved with MeOH in concentrations of 0.200, 1.138, and 0.115 mg/mL, respectively. Chromatographic elution was accomplished by a gradient solvent system consisting of water containing 0.05% TFA (A), acetonitrile (B), and water (C). Gradient conditions were 80% A and 20% B; 8-15 min, changed to 32% B and 68% C; 15-55 min, to 64% B and 36% C; 55-65 min, to 95% B and 5% C, 65-70 min, to 95% B and 5% C; 70-85 min, to 80% A and 20% B. The flow rate was 1.6 mL/min. The retentive times of 1 and 2 were 39.3 and 32.0 min, respectively. The retentive times of actein (3) were 29.1 min (26*R*) and 31.8 min (26*S*).

X-ray Crystallographic Studies. Suitable crystals of 1 and 2 were grown from ethyl acetate and acetone, respectively. A summary of crystal data is given in Table 3. For each structure, the intensity data were collected at 100 K on a Bruker APEX CCD area detector with a Mo sealed tube and graphite monochromator at the University of Chicago,28 and the data reduced with Bruker software.²⁹ The structures were solved by direct methods with SIR-92³⁰ and refined by fullmatrix least-squares on F² with SHELX³¹ using WinGX.³² The non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic Gaussian displacement parameters (AGDP). Repeated cycling between refinement and difference Fourier maps yielded the remaining atom coordinates. All hydrogen atoms were modeled by riding on the attached atom with restrained isotropic displacement parameters. The crystal structure of 1 includes a water molecule, which hydrogen bonds with the molecule. There is some hydrogen atom disorder in each structure: on atoms O-2 (hydroxyl) and C-9 (methyl) in 1 and on atoms O-2 and C-25 (methyl) in 2; the large AGDP on atom O-34 suggests substantial vibrational motion as well. A summary of refinement information is also included in Table 3. Final drawings (Pluton Figures 2 and 3) with labels were done using PLUTON³³ or ORTEP.34

Plant Material. Cimicifuga racemosa (L.) Nutt. roots/ rhizomes were collected in Rockbridge County, Virginia (June 1999), GPS coordinates 37 48.27 N \times 79 18.67 W, identified by Dr. G. Ramsey, Department of Biology, Lynchburg College, Lynchburg, VA. Voucher specimens have been deposited at the Ramsey-Freer Herbarium at Lynchburg College, Lynchburg, VA, and at the Field Museum of Natural History Herbarium, Chicago, IL. The dried, milled roots/rhizomes of C. racemosa (8 kg) were exhaustively extracted with MeOH. The MeOH extract was evaporated to yield 1250 g of a syrup residue. A sample (625 g) of the residue was suspended in water-MeOH (9:1, 1500 mL) and fractionated by successive partitions with EtOAc (2000 mL \times 3) and *n*-BuOH (2000 mL \times 3) to give EtOAc-soluble (262 g) and *n*-BuOH-soluble fractions (100 g).

A portion (250 g) of the EtOAc-soluble fraction was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (2 kg). Elution with CHCl₃, CHCl₃-MeOH (10%), CHCl₃-MeOH (20%), CHCl₃-MeOH (30%), CHCl₃-MeOH (40%), CHCl₃-MeOH (50%), CHCl₃-MeOH (75%), and MeOH (100%) gave eight fractions: I (oil), II (3.0 g), III (1.2 g), IV (2.3 g), V (23 g), VI, VII (60 g), VIII (120 g).

Fraction V (23.0 g) was subjected to a normal-phase silica gel column eluted by a gradient of increasing polarity with a solvent system of petroleum ether-ethyl acetate (EtOAc) to afford 10 subfractions (CRSF-I to CRSF-X). 26-Deoxyactein (2, 210 mg) was obtained by direct crystallization from subfraction CRSF-V. The mother liquor was sequentially subjected to RP-18 chromatographic column separation eluted by ACN-H₂O followed by normal-phase silica gel separation eluted by petroleum ether-EtÔAc-MeOH (10:7:0.5) to give 40 mg of

actein (R,S) (3). Subfraction CRSF-III was subjected to a RP-18 column separation eluted by ACN-H₂O and MeOH-H₂O followed by silica gel column separation eluted with petroleum ether-EtOAc-MeOH (10:5:0.5) to yield 14 mg of compound 1.

26-Deoxyactein (1): colorless needles, mp 253-254 °C, $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ – 52.17° (*c* 0.025, CHCl₃); ¹H, ¹³C NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS *m*/*z* (relative intensity %) 661.4 (6), 451.3 (25), 307.1 (100), 289.1 (58), 219 (15), 154.1 (100), 136.1 (80), 107.9 (60), 78.9 (60); HRFABMS m/z 683.3775 (calcd 683.3771 for C₃₇ H₅₆O₁₀Na).

23-Epi-26-deoxyactein (2): colorless needles, mp 251-253 °C, [α]_D²⁰ –61.18° (*c* 0.255, CH₃Cl–MeOH, 1:1); ¹H, ¹³C NMR, Tables 1 and 2 (data consistent with literature values for "27deoxyactein");²⁵ FABMS *m*/*z* (relative intensity %) 661.4 (0.75), 613.2 (2.0), 460.1 (11), 307.1 (100), 289.1 (43), 219.2 (9), 154.1 (100), 136.1 (100), 107.9 (75), 78.9 (35), 66.3 (27); HRFABMS m/z 683.3779 (calcd 683.3771 for C₃₇ H₅₆O₁₀Na).

Compounds 1 and 2 have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as CCDC 170703 and 170702, respectively.

Acknowledgment. This research was jointly funded by grant P50 AT00155 through the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM), the Office of Dietary Supplements (ODS), the National Institute of General Medicine (NIGMS), and the Office for Research on Women's Health (ORWH). Contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NCCAM, ODS, NIGMS, or ORWH. The authors would like to thank Gwynn Ramsey, Aubrey Neas, Steven Totura, James G. Graham, Bambi Teague, and Keith Langdon of National Park Service for their assistance in acquistion of plant material.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data (Tables S1-S9) for compounds 1 and 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References and Notes

- Compton, J. A.; Culham A.; Gibbings, J. G.; Jury, S. L. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **1998**, *26*, 185–197.
 Compton, J. A.; Culham, A.; Jury, S. L. *Taxon* **1998**, *47*, 593–634.
- McKenna, D.; Jones, K.; Humphrey, S.; Hughes, K. Altern. Ther. 2001, (3)7, 93-100.
- (4) Foster, S. Herbalgram 1999, 45, 35-49.
- Lieberman, S. J. Women's Health 1998, 7, 525-529.
- (6) Kramer, H.; Geisenhofer, H. *Ther. Gegenwart* 1958, *6*, 238.
 (7) Kesselkaul, O. *Med. Mschr.* 1957, *11*, 87–88.

- (8) Foldes, J. Arztl. Forsch. 1959, 13, 623–624.
 (9) Gorlich, N. Arztl. Prax. 1962, 34, 1742–1743.
- (d) Schotten, E. W. Landarzt 1958, 11, 353–354.
 (11) Stefan, H. Ringelh Biol. Umsch. 1959, 10, 149–152.
- (12) Stolze, H. Gyne 1982, 3, 14–16.
- (13) Warnecke, G. Die Meizinische Welt 1985, 36, 871-874.
- (14) Lehmann-Willenbrock, E.; Riedel, H. Zentbl. Gynakol. 1988, 110, 611-618.
- (15) Liske, E.; Wustenberg, P. *Menopause* 1998, *5*, 250.
 (16) Corsano, S.; Linde, H.; Piancatelli, G.; Panizzi, L. Chimia 1967, *21*, 130 - 131.
- (17) Bedir, E.; Khan, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 425-427.
- (11) Both, P., Milli, P. Onthi, J. Barn, H.; Cordell, G.; Bowman, M.; Lemmo, E. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 905–910.
- (19) Hamburger, M.; Wagner, C.; Benthin, B. Pharm. Pharmacol. Lett. **2001**, 1, 15-17.
- Wende, K.; Mugge, C.; Thurow, K.; Schopke, T.; Lindequist, U. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 986–989. (20)
- Berger, S.; Junior, P.; Kopanski, L. Planta Med. 1988, 54, 579-580. (22)Kruse, S.; Lohning, A.; Pauli, G.; Wintergoff, H.; Nahrstedt, A. Planta Med. 1999, 65, 763–764.
- Hagels, H.; Baumert-Krauss, J.; Freudenstein, J. 48th Annual Meeting of the Society of Medicinal Plant Research. Zurich; Switzer-(23)land Sept 3-7, 2000
- (24) He, K.; Zheng, B.; Kim, C. H.; Rogers, L.; Zheng Q. Planta Med. 2000, 66, 635-640
- (25) Koeda, M.; Aoki, Y.; Sakurai, N.; Nagai, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1995, 43.771-776
- (26) Breitmaier, E. Structure Elucidation by NMR in Organic Chemistry: A Practical Guide, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1993; p 49. Scifinder Database, ACS Publications, through UIC library
- The instrument is located in the X-ray Laboratory, 274 Searle Chemistry Building, Department of Chemistry, University of Chi-cago, and is operated by Dr. Ian M. Steele, 773-702-8109, steele@ geosci.uchicago.edu, 5735 South Ellis Ave., Chicago, IL 60637. (28)

- (29) SMART and SAINT-Plus, Versions 5.0, Data Collection and Processing Software for the SMART System; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI,
- ing Software for the SMART System; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 1998.
 (30) SIR92, A program for crystal structure solution: Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi A. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 1993, *26*, 343–350.
 (31) SHELX: Sheldrick, G. M. In *Crystallographic Computing*, Moras, D., Podjarny, A. D., Thierry, J. C., Eds.; International Union of Crystallography and Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1992; pp 145–157 157.
- (32) WinGX (version 1.64.01): Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 837-838.
 (33) PLATON/PLUTON: (a) Spek, A. L. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, C34. (b) Spek, A. L. PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool; Utrecht University: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1998.
 (34) ORTEP. Johnson, C. K. ORTEP-I; Report ORNL-3794; Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN, 1965. ORTEP3 for Windows: Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 565.

NP010494T